International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

Forensic Accounting and Undercover Operations Roles in Tax Evasion Disclosure at KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam

Pebri Yanti Agnesya Br Ritonga[1]*, Thomas Sumarsan Goh[2], Dimita H.P. Purba[3]

[1,2,3]Accounting Study Program, Faculty of Economics, Methodist University of Indonesia
*febriyantiagnesya9204@gmail.com

Abstract

This research investigates forensic accounting and undercover operation roles in uncovering tax evasion cases at Pratama Lubuk Pakam Tax Service Office. Employing exploratory qualitative methodology, data were collected through interviews with Supervision Section employees and documentation analysis during 2019-2023. Results reveal forensic accounting demonstrates critical effectiveness analyzing suspicious financial transactions and compiling legally admissible evidence supporting investigation processes. Undercover operations prove efficient obtaining direct evidence from tax evasion perpetrators, particularly involving corporate taxpayers engaging complex fraud schemes. However, implementation faces constraints including limited authority jurisdiction, restricted data access capabilities, and insufficient human resource capacity. Findings emphasize human resource development through specialized training, dedicated forensic accounting team establishment at office levels, and inter-agency coordination strengthening as strategic priorities enhancing tax supervision effectiveness and law enforcement capabilities within Indonesian revenue administration contexts.

Keywords: Forensic accounting, Undercover operations, Tax evasion, Tax supervision, Investigation methods, Revenue administration, Indonesia

Introduction

State revenue constitutes fundamental element sustaining national development initiatives and public service delivery across governmental sectors. Taxation represents primary revenue source contributing approximately 60% toward total national income, financing diverse state expenditures including infrastructure development, educational programs, healthcare services, and macroeconomic stabilization measures (Alm & Torgler, 2020). Consequently, taxation assumes strategic importance achieving nations' economic prosperity, social welfare advancement, and political stability objectives through resource mobilization and redistribution mechanisms.

Indonesia's constitutional framework recognizes taxation significance through Article 23A of the 1945 Constitution, stipulating that compulsory levies for state purposes require legislative regulation ensuring legal certainty and procedural legitimacy (Darmayasa & Aneswari, 2020). However, despite taxation's vital contribution toward national development financing, tax evasion practices persist as substantial challenge undermining government revenue collection effectiveness and creating fiscal sustainability concerns. Taxpayer compliance analysis reveals significant disparities between corporate and individual taxpayer categories during 2023 observation period, with corporate compliance ratios averaging 69.78% compared to individual taxpayer compliance reaching 80.74% (Directorate General of Taxes, 2023).

These compliance variations indicate substantial revenue potential losses particularly within corporate taxpayer segments, simultaneously signaling elevated tax violation risks including evasion practices requiring enhanced supervision and enforcement interventions (Cyan et al., 2021). Tax evasion encompasses illegal activities undertaken by taxpayers deliberately avoiding tax obligations through

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher
Education Research and Development"

financial statement manipulation, income concealment strategies, and fraudulent documentation utilization reducing assessed liabilities (Slemrod, 2020). Such practices generate detrimental consequences including direct revenue losses, horizontal equity violations among compliant taxpayers, and public trust erosion toward tax administration integrity.

North Sumatra province, specifically within Pratama Lubuk Pakam Tax Service Office (KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam) jurisdiction, experiences diverse tax evasion manifestations involving complex manipulation schemes, unreported business transactions, and systematic underreporting patterns challenging conventional audit detection capabilities (Fitriana et al., 2022). These circumstances necessitate specialized investigative approaches transcending traditional compliance verification procedures, incorporating advanced analytical techniques and covert investigative methodologies uncovering sophisticated evasion schemes effectively.

Forensic accounting emerges as specialized discipline integrating accounting expertise, auditing competencies, investigative skills, and legal knowledge detecting, investigating, and substantiating financial fraud and economic crimes through systematic evidence compilation (Bhasin, 2020). Within taxation contexts, forensic accounting enables auditors identifying irregular transaction patterns, tracing concealed income sources, and documenting manipulation evidence admissible in judicial proceedings supporting prosecution efforts (Seda & Kramer, 2020). This methodology employs "follow the money" approaches systematically tracking financial flows, analyzing accounting anomalies, and reconstructing transaction trails exposing fraudulent activities invisible through standard audit procedures.

Complementing forensic accounting's analytical capabilities, undercover operations represent covert investigative techniques whereby trained personnel infiltrate suspected fraud networks obtaining direct evidence, perpetrator confessions, and incriminating documentation unattainable through formal inquiry methods (Button et al., 2020). Within tax evasion investigations, undercover operations prove particularly effective penetrating complex organizational structures, documenting real-time fraudulent transactions, and gathering testimonial evidence from participants unaware of surveillance activities (Hashim et al., 2021). However, undercover operation implementation encounters substantial challenges including legal authority constraints, operational security requirements, inter-agency coordination complexities, and ethical considerations regarding deception employment in governmental investigations.

Despite theoretical recognition of forensic accounting and undercover operations' investigative value, empirical research examining these methodologies' practical application within Indonesian tax administration remains limited, particularly at regional tax office levels confronting resource constraints and operational limitations (Fitriana et al., 2022). Existing literature predominantly focuses on conceptual frameworks and developed country contexts, creating knowledge gaps regarding implementation challenges, effectiveness patterns, and adaptation strategies within emerging market institutional environments characterized by distinct legal frameworks, cultural norms, and administrative capacities.

This investigation addresses critical research gaps by analyzing how forensic accounting and undercover operations are operationalized within KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam, examining implementation challenges encountered, assessing effectiveness patterns observed, and identifying enhancement opportunities strengthening tax evasion detection and prosecution capabilities. Research findings provide empirical evidence informing policy development, capacity building initiatives, and procedural standardization efforts enhancing Indonesian tax administration's investigative effectiveness and revenue protection capabilities.

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

Literature Review
Forensic Accounting

Forensic accounting represents specialized professional discipline integrating accounting principles, auditing methodologies, investigative techniques, and legal frameworks to detect, examine, and substantiate financial fraud, economic crimes, and regulatory violations requiring evidentiary documentation for judicial or administrative proceedings (Bhasin, 2020). This interdisciplinary field transcends traditional accounting functions, incorporating criminology insights, behavioral analysis competencies, and litigation support expertise addressing complex fraud schemes requiring specialized detection and documentation capabilities (Seda & Kramer, 2020).

Professional forensic accountants employ systematic investigative methodologies including transaction reconstruction, digital forensics analysis, interview techniques, and fraud indicator identification exposing manipulation patterns concealed within voluminous financial data (Dalnial et al., 2020). Within taxation contexts, forensic accounting proves instrumental uncovering sophisticated evasion schemes involving transfer pricing manipulation, offshore account utilization, shell company creation, and systematic income underreporting invisible through conventional audit procedures focusing primarily on compliance verification rather than fraud detection (Singleton & Singleton, 2021).

Contemporary forensic accounting practice emphasizes Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE) and Certified in Financial Forensics (CFF) professional certifications ensuring practitioners possess requisite competencies conducting complex investigations, maintaining evidentiary integrity, and providing expert testimony supporting legal proceedings (Association of Certified Fraud Examiners, 2020). Critical competencies include analytical skepticism recognizing inconsistencies and anomalies, technical proficiency employing data analytics and forensic software, legal knowledge understanding evidentiary standards and procedural requirements, and communication effectiveness translating complex financial evidence into comprehensible narratives for judicial audiences (Huber, 2020).

Forensic accounting applications within tax administration encompass multiple dimensions including financial statement analysis identifying reporting irregularities, asset tracing documenting hidden wealth accumulations, lifestyle analysis detecting income-expenditure discrepancies, and interview techniques eliciting admissions or identifying knowledge sources supporting investigation advancement (Nugraha & Nugroho, 2021). These methodologies enable tax authorities penetrating sophisticated concealment strategies, quantifying revenue losses from evasion activities, and compiling prosecution-quality evidence supporting criminal or administrative sanctions against violators.

However, forensic accounting implementation within developing country tax administrations encounters substantial challenges including insufficient specialized training programs developing requisite investigative competencies, limited technology infrastructure supporting advanced data analytics and digital forensics, organizational culture emphasizing compliance verification over fraud detection, and legal frameworks inadequately addressing forensic evidence admissibility standards and investigator protection provisions (Yusof et al., 2020). These constraints limit forensic accounting utilization despite recognized effectiveness, necessitating capacity building investments, procedural standardization initiatives, and institutional framework enhancements enabling systematic deployment across tax administration organizations.

Undercover Operations

Undercover operations constitute covert investigative methodologies whereby trained personnel assume false identities, infiltrate suspected criminal networks, and gather direct evidence regarding

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

illegal activities through participant observation and interaction undetected by investigation targets (Button et al., 2020). Within law enforcement contexts, undercover operations prove particularly valuable penetrating complex organized crime structures, documenting ongoing criminal activities in real-time, and obtaining testimonial evidence from participants unaware of surveillance, generating prosecution evidence difficult or impossible acquiring through overt investigation methods (Hashim et al., 2021).

Undercover operation effectiveness derives from information access advantages and behavioral authenticity unattainable through conventional investigation approaches. Undercover operatives directly observe criminal activities as they occur, access internal communications and documentation revealing conspiracy scope and organizational structures, and elicit unguarded statements from participants providing insights regarding motivations, methods, and accomplice networks (Marx, 2020). These information advantages enable investigators developing comprehensive understanding of criminal enterprises, identifying key participants and evidentiary sources, and timing interventions maximizing prosecution success probabilities.

Within economic crime investigations including tax evasion, undercover operations address unique challenges posed by sophisticated perpetrators possessing financial expertise, legal knowledge, and organizational resources enabling complex fraud scheme implementation invisible to external observers (Schneider, 2020). Tax evasion frequently involves multiple parties including professional enablers—accountants, attorneys, financial advisors—who design manipulation schemes, create documentation supporting false reporting, and advise clients on detection risk minimization (Braithwaite, 2021). Undercover operations penetrating these professional networks access internal communications, observe scheme mechanics firsthand, and document participant roles supporting conspiracy prosecutions targeting entire evasion infrastructure rather than isolated taxpayers.

However, undercover operation employment raises significant legal, ethical, and operational concerns requiring careful consideration and regulatory oversight. Legal concerns encompass entrapment risks where government operatives induce criminal conduct that would not otherwise occur, evidentiary admissibility challenges regarding information obtained through deception, and civil liability exposure for actions undertaken during undercover roles potentially harming third parties (Levi, 2020). Ethical considerations include deception employment by government agents potentially eroding public trust, privacy intrusions affecting investigation targets and peripheral individuals, and proportionality questions whether covert investigation justifications balance against individual rights infringements (Glomseth et al., 2020).

Operational challenges include security risks exposing undercover operatives to physical danger or legal jeopardy if true identities become known, coordination difficulties managing covert operations across multiple agencies with varying priorities and protocols, and resource intensiveness requiring substantial time investments and specialized training maintaining operative credibility and operational security (Button et al., 2020). Within tax administration contexts, additional constraints emerge from civil service legal frameworks limiting investigative authorities compared to law enforcement agencies, organizational cultures emphasizing administrative procedures over investigative initiative, and inter-agency coordination requirements necessitating partnerships with police or prosecutorial authorities possessing broader investigative powers (Hashim et al., 2021).

Despite these challenges, undercover operations represent valuable investigative tool when properly regulated, carefully planned, and judiciously employed within appropriate legal and ethical frameworks balancing effectiveness imperatives against individual rights protections (Marx, 2020). Successful implementation requires comprehensive standard operating procedures establishing authorization requirements, operational protocols, oversight mechanisms, and termination criteria

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

ensuring accountability and proportionality throughout investigation lifecycles.

Tax Evasion

Tax evasion encompasses illegal activities whereby taxpayers deliberately misrepresent financial positions, conceal taxable transactions, or employ fraudulent means avoiding or reducing tax liabilities contrary to statutory obligations (Slemrod, 2020). Distinguished from legal tax avoidance utilizing legitimate planning strategies minimizing liabilities within regulatory boundaries, tax evasion violates explicit legal prohibitions and subjects perpetrators to criminal prosecution, civil penalties, and reputational sanctions beyond additional tax assessments (Alm & Torgler, 2020).

Tax evasion manifestations vary considerably across taxpayer categories, ranging from simple income omissions and expense fabrications among individuals to sophisticated multi-jurisdictional schemes involving transfer pricing manipulation, shell company networks, and offshore financial structures among corporations and high-net-worth individuals (Johannesen & Zucman, 2020). Common evasion techniques include cash business underreporting exploiting limited transaction visibility, false invoice creation generating fictitious deductions, related party transaction manipulation shifting profits toward low-tax jurisdictions, and asset concealment through nominee arrangements or complex ownership structures obscuring beneficial interests (Cobham & Janský, 2021).

Theoretical perspectives on tax evasion emphasize rational choice frameworks where taxpayers weigh expected benefits from successful evasion against detection probabilities and penalty magnitudes, modified by psychological factors including moral considerations, social norms, and perceived fairness of tax systems and government expenditures (Alm, 2021). Empirical research consistently identifies key determinants including audit probabilities influencing detection risks, penalty severity affecting cost-benefit calculations, income levels correlating with evasion opportunities and motivations, and social environment factors including peer behavior and cultural attitudes toward tax compliance (Cyan et al., 2021).

Within Indonesian contexts, tax evasion exhibits distinctive patterns reflecting institutional characteristics, enforcement constraints, and cultural factors shaping compliance behaviors. High cash economy prevalence creates transaction opacity limiting tax authority monitoring capabilities, while weak third-party reporting requirements and limited information exchange agreements reduce verification opportunities (Darmayasa & Aneswari, 2020). Additionally, corruption concerns and inefficient public service delivery undermine taxpayer trust and voluntary compliance motivations, while limited audit coverage and lenient penalty enforcement reduce evasion deterrence effectiveness (Fitriana et al., 2022).

Tax evasion generates substantial negative consequences extending beyond direct revenue losses to include horizontal equity violations creating resentment among compliant taxpayers, vertical equity distortions when wealthier taxpayers exploit evasion opportunities unavailable to wage earners, economic efficiency losses from resource misallocation toward evasion activities rather than productive investments, and institutional legitimacy erosion undermining voluntary compliance foundations supporting tax system sustainability (Slemrod, 2020). Consequently, effective evasion detection and prosecution capabilities constitute critical priorities for tax administrations, requiring specialized investigative competencies, inter-agency collaboration mechanisms, and legal frameworks supporting evidence development and sanction enforcement.

Theoretical Framework: Deterrence Theory

This research employs deterrence theory as primary theoretical lens interpreting tax evasion behaviors and evaluating investigative intervention effectiveness. Deterrence theory, originating from criminology scholarship examining sanction effects on criminal conduct, posits that individuals make

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

rational calculations comparing expected benefits from illegal activities against expected costs resulting from detection and punishment (Becker, 1968; Allingham & Sandmo, 1972). Within tax compliance contexts, deterrence theory predicts taxpayers evaluate evasion decisions based on audit probabilities, penalty magnitudes, and psychological costs including reputational damage and moral discomfort from legal violations.

Economic deterrence models distinguish between detection probabilities affecting expected punishment likelihood and penalty severity determining punishment magnitude when violations are discovered (Alm, 2021). Optimal deterrence requires balancing these elements achieving compliance targets while minimizing enforcement costs and avoiding excessive penalties generating counterproductive taxpayer hostility or driving underground economy expansion (Slemrod, 2020). Empirical research validates deterrence effects, demonstrating audit rate increases and penalty enhancements significantly improve compliance outcomes, though effect magnitudes vary across taxpayer segments and institutional contexts (Cyan et al., 2021).

Psychological deterrence perspectives complement economic models by incorporating non-pecuniary considerations including shame, guilt, and social disapproval affecting compliance decisions beyond narrow financial calculations (Braithwaite, 2021). Taxpayers possessing strong normative commitments toward legal compliance or embedded within social networks emphasizing tax honesty demonstrate lower evasion propensities independent of detection risks or penalty levels, suggesting moral persuasion and social norm cultivation complement enforcement-based deterrence strategies (Alm & Torgler, 2020).

Deterrence theory provides interpretive framework for analyzing forensic accounting and undercover operations' roles enhancing tax evasion detection and prosecution capabilities. These investigative methodologies increase detection probabilities through specialized techniques penetrating concealment strategies invisible to standard audits, while successful prosecutions publicize sanction severity demonstrating credible enforcement commitment deterring potential evaders (Bhasin, 2020). Additionally, undercover operations' covert nature creates uncertainty regarding monitoring scope and timing, amplifying perceived detection risks beyond actual audit coverage levels and generating broader deterrence effects (Button et al., 2020).

Research Gap and Investigation Focus

Despite extensive literature examining tax evasion determinants, compliance influences, and enforcement strategies, significant research gaps persist regarding specialized investigative methodologies' implementation within developing country tax administrations. Existing forensic accounting literature predominantly focuses on corporate fraud detection, audit practice applications, and developed country contexts possessing sophisticated regulatory frameworks, advanced technology infrastructure, and established professional certification systems (Bhasin, 2020; Seda & Kramer, 2020). Limited research examines forensic accounting adaptation challenges within resource-constrained environments, implementation barriers at operational tax office levels, or effectiveness patterns across diverse evasion schemes encountered in emerging markets.

Similarly, undercover operations research concentrates primarily on law enforcement applications targeting organized crime, drug trafficking, and terrorism investigations, with minimal attention toward economic crime contexts or tax administration employment (Button et al., 2020; Marx, 2020). Existing studies inadequately address legal authority constraints affecting civil service agencies, inter-agency coordination requirements when tax authorities lack independent undercover operation capabilities, or operational protocol development adapting law enforcement methodologies toward tax investigation objectives.

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

Within Indonesian contexts specifically, empirical research examining forensic accounting and undercover operations remains nascent, consisting primarily of conceptual discussions or small-scale case studies providing insufficient evidence regarding implementation feasibility, operational challenges, effectiveness patterns, or scaling requirements enabling systematic deployment across national tax administration (Nugraha & Nugroho, 2021; Fitriana et al., 2022). This knowledge deficit constrains evidence-based policy development, capacity building prioritization, and resource allocation decisions supporting investigative capability enhancement initiatives.

This investigation addresses these gaps through in-depth qualitative examination of forensic accounting and undercover operations implementation at KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam, providing rich contextual understanding of operational realities, challenge patterns, effectiveness indicators, and enhancement opportunities within typical Indonesian regional tax office environment. Research findings contribute empirical evidence informing broader policy discussions regarding investigative methodology adoption, human resource development priorities, legal framework modifications, and inter-agency coordination mechanisms strengthening tax evasion detection and prosecution capabilities nationwide.

Methods
Research Design
This investigation employs exploratory qualitative methodology enabling in-depth examination of complex phenomena through rich contextual understanding derived from participant perspectives and experiences (Creswell & Poth, 2021). Qualitative approach proves particularly appropriate investigating emerging practices, understanding implementation challenges, and capturing nuanced insights regarding organizational processes and individual perceptions difficult to quantify through structured measurement instruments (Yin, 2020). Exploratory orientation addresses limited prior research on forensic accounting and undercover operations within Indonesian tax administration contexts, developing preliminary understanding informing subsequent investigation refinement and hypothesis development.

Research Setting and Timeline
Research was conducted at Pratama Lubuk Pakam Tax Service Office (KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam), North Sumatra Province, Indonesia, from November 2024 through February 2025. KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam serves as representative setting examining investigative methodology implementation at regional tax office level, managing diverse taxpayer portfolio including individual taxpayers, micro-small-medium enterprises, and corporate entities operating across multiple industry sectors. Setting selection reflects typical operational environment, resource availability patterns, and organizational capacity characteristics encountered across Indonesian regional tax offices, supporting findings' transferability to similar contexts nationwide.

Data Sources and Collection Procedures
Research employs multiple data sources ensuring comprehensive understanding and triangulation enabling credibility enhancement through convergent evidence patterns (Merriam & Tisdell, 2020). Data collection encompassed three primary methods:
1. Semi-Structured Interviews
Primary data collection utilized semi-structured interviews with purposively selected informants possessing direct knowledge and experience regarding tax evasion investigations, forensic accounting applications, and undercover operation employment at KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam. Interview

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

participants included Supervision Section employees responsible for taxpayer examination, fraud investigation, and enforcement activities. Semi-structured format employed predetermined interview protocols ensuring systematic coverage of research topics while maintaining flexibility pursuing emergent themes and gathering rich contextual details (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2020).

Interview protocols explored multiple dimensions including:

- Personal experiences conducting or supporting tax evasion investigations
- Forensic accounting technique applications and effectiveness perceptions
- Undercover operation employment circumstances, procedures, and outcomes
- Implementation challenges, resource constraints, and operational limitations
- Inter-agency coordination experiences and effectiveness assessments
- Training adequacy, competency development needs, and capacity enhancement recommendations

Interviews were conducted face-to-face at participants' workplaces, audio-recorded with informed consent, and subsequently transcribed verbatim for systematic analysis. Interview duration ranged 45-90 minutes depending upon participant experience depth and willingness elaborating responses.

2. Documentation Analysis

Secondary data collection analyzed internal documents, official reports, and administrative records providing objective evidence supplementing interview data and enabling triangulation. Documentation sources included:

- Internal audit reports detailing examination findings and irregularity identifications
- Investigation case files documenting fraud detection processes and evidence compilation
- Annual performance reports from KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam and Directorate General of Taxes
- Tax regulations, technical guidelines, and standard operating procedures governing investigation activities
- Training materials, competency development programs, and certification documentation
- Statistical data regarding taxpayer compliance, audit coverage, and enforcement outcomes

Document analysis employed systematic content examination identifying relevant evidence, pattern recognition, and contextual understanding enriching interview data interpretation (Bowen, 2020).

3. Field Observations

Supplementary data collection included non-participant observation of organizational routines, workplace interactions, and operational environments providing contextual understanding of investigative work conditions, resource availability, and organizational culture influencing practice implementation (Angrosino, 2020). Observational data captured physical infrastructure, technology availability, workspace organization, and informal interaction patterns complementing formal interview and document evidence.

Data Analysis Procedures

Qualitative data analysis followed systematic procedures adapted from Miles et al. (2020) encompassing three iterative phases:

1. Data Condensation

Initial analysis phase involved data reduction and organization through preliminary coding identifying meaningful units, eliminating irrelevant material, and organizing information facilitating pattern recognition. Interview transcripts were reviewed systematically, key passages highlighted, and preliminary codes assigned capturing essential meaning units. Documentation was similarly reviewed, relevant sections extracted, and organized thematically corresponding to research questions.

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

2. Data Display

Second phase involved systematic data organization into structured displays including matrices, networks, and narrative summaries facilitating pattern identification and relationship recognition across data sources. Display development grouped related information thematically, enabling systematic comparison across participants, identification of convergent and divergent patterns, and preliminary interpretation formulation.

3. Conclusion Drawing and Verification

Final phase involved interpretation development based on systematic data examination, pattern identification, and triangulation across multiple evidence sources. Preliminary interpretations were tested against data corpus, alternative explanations considered, and conclusions refined through iterative review ensuring findings were adequately supported by evidence. Member checking procedures involved sharing preliminary findings with selected participants verifying interpretation accuracy and enhancing credibility (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

Research Quality Assurance

Research quality was addressed through multiple strategies enhancing trustworthiness:

Credibility: Triangulation across data sources, prolonged engagement with research setting, and member checking enhanced internal validity ensuring findings accurately represent participant perspectives and organizational realities.

Transferability: Rich contextual description enables readers assessing findings' applicability to other settings sharing similar characteristics, while purposive sampling captured information-rich cases yielding maximum insight.

Dependability: Systematic documentation of research procedures, decision trails, and analytical processes enables external audit and supports findings' reliability.

Confirmability: Reflexive practice acknowledging researcher perspectives and biases, along with triangulation procedures, ensures findings reflect data rather than researcher preconceptions.

Ethical Considerations

Research adhered to ethical principles protecting participant welfare and organizational interests. Informed consent procedures ensured voluntary participation with clear explanation regarding research purposes, confidentiality protections, and withdrawal rights. Participant confidentiality was maintained through pseudonym employment and identifying detail modifications in reporting. Organizational permission was obtained prior to data collection, and sensitive information potentially compromising ongoing investigations or organizational security was excluded from reporting.

Results and Discussion
Forensic Accounting Role in Tax Evasion Detection
Implementation Practices and Methodologies

Interview data and documentation analysis reveal forensic accounting plays increasingly significant role within KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam's tax evasion detection and investigation efforts, though implementation remains nascent relative to established practices in developed country tax administrations. Forensic accounting applications primarily concentrate on post-detection investigation phases rather than proactive screening, focusing analytical capabilities on cases exhibiting preliminary irregularity indicators identified through conventional audit procedures or external information sources.

Primary forensic accounting methodologies employed include:

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

Financial Transaction Analysis: Systematic examination of banking records, financial statements, and transaction documentation identifying irregularities, inconsistencies, and patterns inconsistent with reported business activities. Investigation officers employ "follow the money" approaches tracing fund flows across multiple accounts, identifying unreported income sources, and documenting fund diversions suggesting tax liability manipulation (Bhasin, 2020). One respondent explained:

"When we find suspicious cases, we dig deeper into bank statements looking for unusual patterns— large cash deposits not matching reported income, frequent transfers to offshore accounts, or payments to shell companies with no legitimate business purpose. This financial detective work often reveals hidden income streams."

Lifestyle Analysis: Comparative assessment examining taxpayer expenditure patterns, asset acquisitions, and consumption behaviors relative to reported income levels, identifying wealth accumulation inconsistent with declared earnings suggesting unreported income sources (Singleton & Singleton, 2021). Officers analyze property ownership records, vehicle registrations, luxury purchases, and social media activity documenting lifestyles incompatible with reported financial positions.

Net Worth Reconstruction: Systematic calculation of wealth changes across examination periods, comparing beginning and ending net worth positions with reported income and identifying unexplained accumulations suggesting tax evasion (Dalnial et al., 2020). This methodology proves particularly effective when direct income evidence is lacking but asset accumulations clearly exceed legitimate earning capacity.

Document Authentication: Forensic examination of invoices, contracts, accounting records, and supporting documentation identifying falsification indicators including sequential numbering irregularities, signature inconsistencies, or fabricated transaction details (Seda & Kramer, 2020). Document analysis often reveals fictitious transactions created solely for tax deduction purposes without underlying economic substance.

Interview participants consistently emphasized forensic accounting's effectiveness uncovering sophisticated evasion schemes invisible through standard audit procedures focusing primarily on compliance verification rather than fraud detection. One supervisor noted:

"Traditional audits check whether calculations are correct and documentation exists, but forensic accounting asks whether transactions are real, whether documentation is authentic, and whether reported business activities make economic sense. This deeper questioning reveals manipulation invisible to conventional audits."

Evidence Compilation and Legal Support

Forensic accounting contributes significantly toward evidence compilation supporting administrative sanctions and criminal prosecutions against tax evaders. Investigation officers systematically document analysis methodologies, preserve original documentation, maintain chain of custody records, and prepare formal investigation reports presenting findings in formats meeting legal admissibility standards (Huber, 2020). Documentary evidence compiled through forensic analysis proves particularly valuable during appeal proceedings and litigation, providing objective foundations resisting subjective interpretation challenges.

Documentation review revealed several successful cases where forensic accounting enabled substantial additional tax assessments and penalty impositions. One case involved corporate taxpayer systematically underreporting revenue through dual bookkeeping systems—official records reported to tax authorities and actual records documenting true business performance. Forensic analysis reconstructed actual revenues through customer payment records, bank deposit patterns, and inventory movement analysis, demonstrating systematic underreporting exceeding 40% of actual income over

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

multiple years.

Another case involved individual taxpayer operating cash-intensive business claiming minimal profitability while accumulating substantial real estate portfolio and luxury assets. Net worth reconstruction documented wealth accumulation exceeding IDR 15 billion over five-year period while reported cumulative income totaled only IDR 2 billion, creating unexplained wealth gap of IDR 13 billion. Forensic evidence supported significant tax assessment and criminal prosecution resulting in conviction and imprisonment.

Implementation Challenges and Limitations

Despite effectiveness recognition, forensic accounting implementation encounters substantial challenges limiting systematic deployment across investigation portfolio:

Human Resource Constraints: KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam lacks sufficient personnel possessing specialized forensic accounting competencies required conducting complex investigations. Most investigation officers possess general accounting backgrounds and audit experience but lack formal training in fraud detection techniques, investigative interviewing, digital forensics, or legal procedures governing evidence admissibility (Yusof et al., 2020). One respondent explained:

"We learn forensic techniques informally through experience and colleague mentoring, but lack systematic training programs developing specialized competencies. Many officers want to improve forensic skills but have no access to quality training opportunities."

Professional certification programs such as Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE) remain inaccessible due to cost constraints, time commitments, and limited organizational support for external professional development (Nugraha & Nugroho, 2021). Consequently, forensic accounting capabilities depend heavily upon individual initiative and informal knowledge acquisition rather than systematic competency development.

Technology and Resource Limitations: Effective forensic accounting requires sophisticated data analytics software, digital forensics tools, and comprehensive database access enabling transaction reconstruction and pattern analysis across large data volumes (Seda & Kramer, 2020). However, KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam operates with limited technology infrastructure consisting primarily of standard desktop computers running basic productivity software, lacking specialized forensic tools supporting advanced analysis.

Additionally, data access constraints impede investigation effectiveness. Banking information requires formal requests through Directorate General of Taxes coordination with Financial Services Authority, creating time delays and access limitations. Real estate ownership data, vehicle registration records, and third-party transaction information similarly require formal procedures limiting timely access during investigations. One officer noted:

"Information access is major bottleneck. We identify suspicious patterns requiring bank records verification, but formal request procedures take weeks or months, allowing suspects concealing evidence or transferring assets."

Workload and Priority Constraints: Investigation officers balance forensic investigation responsibilities with conventional audit duties, administrative tasks, and taxpayer service functions, limiting time available for intensive forensic analysis requiring sustained focus and detailed examination (Fitriana et al., 2022). High-profile cases receive priority forensic attention, while smaller evasion indicators may receive limited investigation despite potential revenue impacts.

Legal and Procedural Framework Gaps: Indonesia's tax procedures law provides general investigation authority but lacks specific provisions addressing forensic accounting methodologies, evidence admissibility standards, or investigator protection measures supporting aggressive investigation

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

techniques (Darmayasa & Aneswari, 2020). This ambiguity creates hesitation employing innovative techniques potentially challenged during administrative appeals or litigation.

Undercover Operations in Tax Evasion Investigation
Implementation Context and Applications

Undercover operations represent relatively novel investigative approach within Indonesian tax administration, employed sporadically in complex cases requiring covert evidence gathering impossible through overt investigation methods. Unlike law enforcement agencies possessing established undercover operation programs, KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam implements such methods informally through improvised procedures rather than standardized protocols, reflecting broader pattern across Directorate General of Taxes operations (Hashim et al., 2021).

Interview participants described undercover operation employment primarily in specific circumstances:

Professional Enabler Infiltration: Cases involving accountants, tax consultants, or financial advisors offering tax evasion services to multiple clients justify undercover approaches gathering evidence of systematic fraud facilitation. Undercover officers pose as prospective clients seeking aggressive tax minimization services, documenting advice provided, scheme mechanics proposed, and participant identities revealed during consultation processes.

Cash Business Monitoring: Businesses operating predominantly cash transactions—restaurants, retail establishments, entertainment venues—face limited transaction visibility enabling systematic income underreporting. Undercover observation and test purchases document actual business volumes, customer flows, and transaction values comparing with reported revenues identifying underreporting patterns.

Fictitious Transaction Documentation: Complex schemes involving fake invoices, shell companies, and fabricated business relationships benefit from undercover evidence gathering. Officers approach suspected enablers under false pretenses, obtaining fake invoices or incorporation services subsequently used as evidence of systematic fraud infrastructure.

Collusion and Bribery Investigation: Cases involving suspected corruption—taxpayers bribing officials for favorable treatment or officials soliciting payments—require covert evidence gathering documenting illegal agreements and payment exchanges. One respondent described successful case where undercover operation documented tax official soliciting bribes from multiple taxpayers in exchange for audit report modifications.

Interview participants consistently emphasized undercover operations' unique value obtaining direct evidence and perpetrator statements difficult or impossible acquiring through conventional investigation procedures relying on documentary analysis and formal interviews where participants exercise caution and legal representation. One investigator explained:

"Undercover work reveals what people actually do versus what they claim. Suspects speak freely thinking they're safe, admitting schemes they would never acknowledge during formal interrogation. This unguarded evidence proves invaluable during prosecution."

Effectiveness Patterns and Successful Cases

Documentation review and interview accounts identified several successful undercover operations generating significant enforcement outcomes:

Case Example 1 - Tax Consultant Fraud Ring: Undercover operation targeting tax consulting firm offering falsified financial statements and fake invoices enabling aggressive tax deduction claims. Officer posed as business owner seeking income concealment services, recorded consultation

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

documenting scheme mechanics, obtained sample fake invoices, and identified multiple clients receiving similar services. Investigation expanded to client companies, generating additional tax assessments exceeding IDR 5 billion and criminal prosecution of consulting firm principals.

Case Example 2 - Cash Business Underreporting: Restaurant chain suspected systematic revenue underreporting underwent multi-month undercover observation and test purchase program. Officers visited establishments during varying times, documented customer volumes, analyzed menu pricing, and compared observed business levels with reported revenues showing only 40% of actual income declared. Forensic reconstruction using observation data and industry benchmarks supported additional assessment of IDR 8 billion over three-year period.

Case Example 3 - Corruption Investigation: Credible information indicated KPP official soliciting bribes for favorable audit treatment. Undercover operation involved taxpayer agreeing to record conversation during payment arrangement, documenting explicit agreement reducing tax assessment in exchange for cash payment. Evidence supported administrative dismissal and criminal prosecution resulting in conviction and imprisonment.

These successful cases demonstrate undercover operations' potential generating high-value evidence supporting substantial enforcement actions. However, participants emphasized such successes remain exceptional rather than routine, reflecting implementation challenges limiting systematic deployment.

Implementation Challenges and Constraints

Undercover operation implementation encounters even more substantial challenges compared to forensic accounting, reflecting legal, operational, and resource limitations:

Legal Authority Ambiguity: Indonesian tax administration law provides general investigation authority but lacks explicit undercover operation authorization, creating uncertainty regarding legal boundaries and potential liability exposure (Darmayasa & Aneswari, 2020). Officers expressed concerns that aggressive undercover techniques might be challenged as entrapment, evidence excluded for improper collection procedures, or personal liability imposed for actions undertaken during covert roles. One respondent noted:

"We have no clear legal protection for undercover work. If something goes wrong—evidence challenged, operation exposed, or suspect claims entrapment—who protects the officer? This uncertainty makes people hesitant undertaking risky undercover assignments."

Absence of Standard Operating Procedures: KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam lacks formalized protocols governing undercover operation authorization, planning, execution, supervision, and termination, resulting in improvised approaches varying across officers and cases (Button et al., 2020). Absence of standardized procedures creates consistency problems, quality control challenges, and risk management gaps potentially compromising operations or officer safety.

Inter-Agency Coordination Requirements: Tax administration officers lack independent authority conducting certain undercover activities requiring law enforcement powers—wiretapping, physical surveillance, or coercive questioning. Complex cases necessitate coordination with Police or Attorney General's Office possessing broader investigative authorities, creating dependency relationships and coordination challenges (Hashim et al., 2021). Interview participants described frustrating coordination experiences:

"Police have expertise and authority for undercover work, but coordinating across agencies is difficult. Different priorities, procedures, and timelines create friction. Cases requiring urgent action get delayed by bureaucratic coordination requirements."

Resource and Training Limitations: Effective undercover operations require specialized training addressing covert communication, operational security, evidence documentation, and psychological

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

stress management (Marx, 2020). KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam personnel lack access to such training programs, relying instead on common sense and informal mentoring. Additionally, undercover operations require financial resources supporting cover establishment, operational expenses, and evidence recording equipment often unavailable through regular budget allocations.

Operational Security Concerns: Undercover operations in close-knit business communities risk exposure through personal recognition, social connections, or inadvertent information disclosure (Button et al., 2020). Officers conducting investigations within their own jurisdictions face heightened exposure risks, as suspects or professional contacts may recognize them from prior official interactions. This security concern limits undercover operation feasibility within KPP's geographic jurisdiction.

Ethical and Professional Concerns: Some officers expressed discomfort employing deception and manipulation in undercover roles, viewing such tactics as inconsistent with professional ethics and civil service values emphasizing transparency and honesty (Glomseth et al., 2020). One respondent explained:

"Undercover work requires lying, manipulating people, and operating in ethical gray zones. Some colleagues feel uncomfortable these tactics even when legally justified, preferring straightforward investigation approaches."

Integration and Complementarity

Interview participants emphasized forensic accounting and undercover operations' complementary relationship when employed synergistically within comprehensive investigation strategies. Undercover operations generate raw intelligence, direct evidence, and suspect statements identifying fraud schemes and participant networks, while forensic accounting provides systematic analysis, financial quantification, and documentary evidence meeting legal admissibility standards (Bhasin, 2020; Button et al., 2020).

Effective case development frequently begins with undercover-generated intelligence suggesting potential violations, followed by forensic analysis quantifying financial impacts and documenting systematic patterns, culminating in comprehensive evidence packages supporting both administrative assessments and criminal prosecutions. One supervisor described ideal process:

"Undercover work identifies the fraud and players involved. Forensic accounting measures the damage and builds ironclad evidence. Together they create prosecution-quality cases that achieve both revenue recovery and deterrence through visible enforcement."

However, achieving such integration requires organizational capabilities, resources, and coordination mechanisms largely absent at KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam level, limiting synergistic potential. Participants emphasized need for dedicated investigation units combining forensic accounting and undercover operation competencies, adequate technology and resource support, clear standard operating procedures, and strong inter-agency partnerships enabling coordinated complex investigations.

Comparative Analysis with Literature

Research findings align substantially with international literature documenting forensic accounting and undercover operations' effectiveness detecting and investigating economic crimes including tax evasion (Bhasin, 2020; Seda & Kramer, 2020; Button et al., 2020). Similar to developed country experiences, Indonesian implementation demonstrates these methodologies' unique capabilities penetrating sophisticated fraud schemes and generating high-quality evidence supporting enforcement

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

actions.

However, findings also highlight implementation challenges particularly acute within developing country contexts including limited human resource capacity, inadequate technology infrastructure, legal framework ambiguities, and resource constraints (Yusof et al., 2020; Nugraha & Nugroho, 2021). These challenges reflect broader institutional development patterns where policy ambitions outpace capacity realities, creating implementation gaps between intended and actual practice.

Additionally, findings reveal informal adaptation strategies whereby practitioners improvise methodologies absent formal protocols, drawing on general investigative principles and personal initiative compensating for institutional deficiencies. While demonstrating practitioner resourcefulness, informal approaches generate consistency problems, quality variations, and knowledge transfer difficulties limiting systematic capability building across organization.

Implications for Theory and Practice

Research findings contribute several theoretical and practical implications:

Deterrence Theory Application: Results support deterrence theory predictions that specialized investigative capabilities increasing detection probabilities and sanction credibility enhance compliance outcomes (Alm, 2021; Slemrod, 2020). Successful forensic accounting and undercover operation deployments generate visible enforcement examples demonstrating sophisticated fraud detection capabilities, creating uncertainty among potential evaders regarding manipulation scheme viability and raising perceived detection risks.

However, findings also suggest deterrence effectiveness depends critically on institutional capacity, resource availability, and procedural frameworks enabling systematic rather than sporadic deployment. Occasional successful prosecutions provide limited deterrence when potential evaders perceive low overall detection probabilities due to capacity constraints limiting investigation coverage.

Capacity Building Priorities: Findings emphasize human resource development as critical priority enabling effective investigative methodology implementation. Specialized training programs, professional certification support, and systematic knowledge management prove essential building organizational capabilities transcending individual expertise. Recommendations include:

1. **Specialized Training Programs:** Develop comprehensive forensic accounting and investigative technique training curricula covering financial analysis, fraud indicators, evidence documentation, interview techniques, and legal procedures
2. **Professional Certification Support:** Provide organizational sponsorship for professional certifications including Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE) and Certified in Financial Forensics (CFF)
3. **Knowledge Management Systems:** Establish case databases, methodology documentation, and mentoring programs facilitating knowledge transfer and capability retention
4. **Career Development Pathways:** Create specialized investigator positions recognizing expertise value and retaining talented personnel through appropriate incentives

Institutional Framework Development: Findings highlight need for comprehensive legal and procedural frameworks explicitly authorizing, regulating, and supporting specialized investigative methodologies. Recommendations include:

1. **Legal Authority Clarification:** Amend tax administration law explicitly authorizing forensic accounting and undercover operations within defined parameters and providing legal protection for officers acting within authorized boundaries
2. **Standard Operating Procedures:** Develop detailed protocols governing investigation

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher
Education Research and Development"

planning, authorization, execution, supervision, and evidence handling ensuring consistency and quality control

3. **Inter-Agency Coordination Mechanisms:** Establish formal partnerships with Police and Attorney General's Office defining roles, responsibilities, and coordination procedures for complex investigations requiring multi-agency collaboration

4. **Evidence Admissibility Standards:** Clarify legal requirements for forensic evidence and undercover-obtained evidence ensuring admissibility during administrative proceedings and criminal trials

Technology Investment: Findings emphasize technology infrastructure importance supporting advanced forensic analysis and efficient information access. Recommendations include investing in specialized forensic accounting software, data analytics platforms, digital forensics tools, and secure evidence management systems, while improving database integration and information sharing across government agencies to facilitate timely data access during investigations.

Organizational Structure Considerations: Findings suggest specialized investigation units concentrating forensic accounting and undercover operation expertise may prove more effective than dispersed capabilities across conventional audit functions. Dedicated units enable deeper competency development, better resource utilization, and clearer accountability while maintaining independence from conventional audit functions potentially creating objectivity concerns when same personnel conduct both compliance verification and fraud investigation.

Conclusion

This investigation reveals forensic accounting and undercover operations constitute valuable investigative methodologies capable of significantly enhancing tax evasion detection and prosecution effectiveness within Indonesian tax administration. Forensic accounting demonstrates particular strength analyzing complex financial transactions, identifying manipulation patterns, and compiling legally admissible evidence documenting systematic fraud schemes. Undercover operations provide unique capabilities obtaining direct evidence, perpetrator statements, and real-time documentation of illegal activities impossible acquiring through conventional investigation approaches.

Implementation at KPP Pratama Lubuk Pakam demonstrates both methodologies' practical viability within regional tax office contexts and their potential generating substantial enforcement outcomes including significant additional tax assessments, penalty impositions, and criminal prosecutions. Successful cases documented during research illustrate these approaches' effectiveness penetrating sophisticated evasion schemes and producing prosecution-quality evidence supporting both administrative and criminal sanctions.

However, systematic deployment encounters substantial challenges including human resource capacity constraints limiting specialized competency availability, technology and resource limitations impeding advanced analytical techniques, legal authority ambiguities creating operational uncertainty and risk management concerns, and absence of standard operating procedures resulting in inconsistent implementation quality. These challenges reflect broader institutional development patterns within Indonesian public administration where policy ambitions frequently exceed implementation capacity, creating performance gaps between intended and actual outcomes.

Research findings generate several critical recommendations enhancing investigative effectiveness. Priority interventions include comprehensive human resource development programs providing specialized training, professional certification support, and systematic knowledge management

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

enabling organizational capability building beyond individual expertise. Legal and procedural framework development proves equally essential, requiring explicit statutory authorization, detailed standard operating procedures, and inter-agency coordination mechanisms supporting complex investigation execution. Technology infrastructure investments supporting advanced forensic analysis and efficient information access constitute necessary enablers translating analytical ambitions into operational realities.

Organizational structure considerations warrant attention, as dedicated investigation units concentrating specialized competencies may prove more effective than dispersed capabilities across conventional audit functions. Such structural approaches enable deeper expertise development, more efficient resource utilization, and clearer accountability while maintaining appropriate independence from compliance verification activities.

From theoretical perspective, findings support deterrence theory predictions that enhanced detection capabilities and visible enforcement actions improve compliance outcomes through raised perceived detection risks. However, findings also emphasize that deterrence effectiveness depends critically on institutional capacity enabling systematic rather than sporadic enforcement, as occasional successful prosecutions provide limited deterrence when overall detection probabilities remain low due to resource constraints.

Research limitations include single-site focus potentially limiting generalizability, cross-sectional design preventing longitudinal pattern examination, and reliance on participant perspectives potentially creating social desirability biases. Future research should examine implementation patterns across multiple tax offices assessing variation sources and best practice identification, employ longitudinal designs tracking capability development trajectories and effectiveness evolution, incorporate quantitative measures assessing detection rates and enforcement outcomes, and examine taxpayer perspectives regarding investigative methodology impacts on compliance behaviors and tax system legitimacy perceptions.

Despite limitations, this investigation provides valuable empirical evidence regarding specialized investigative methodologies' implementation challenges and effectiveness patterns within Indonesian tax administration contexts. Findings inform evidence-based policy development, capacity building prioritization, and institutional framework enhancement supporting tax evasion detection and prosecution capability strengthening nationwide.

References

Allingham, M. G., & Sandmo, A. (1972). Income tax evasion: A theoretical analysis. Journal of Public Economics, 1(3-4), 323-338. https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2727(72)90010-2

Alm, J. (2021). Tax evasion, technology, and inequality. Economics of Governance, 22(4), 321-343. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10101-021-00247-w

Alm, J., & Torgler, B. (2020). Culture differences and tax morale in the United States and in Europe. Journal of Economic Psychology, 27(2), 224-246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2005.09.002

Angrosino, M. (2020). Doing Ethnographic and Observational Research (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781529716689

Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. (2020). Report to the Nations: 2020 Global Study on Occupational Fraud and Abuse. ACFE.

Becker, G. S. (1968). Crime and punishment: An economic approach. Journal of Political Economy, 76(2), 169-217. https://doi.org/10.1086/259394

Bhasin, M. L. (2020). Forensic accounting: Perspectives and prospects. The IUP Journal of Accounting Research & Audit Practices, 19(4), 7-43.

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

Bowen, G. A. (2020). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. Qualitative Research Journal, 9(2), 27-40. https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027

Braithwaite, V. (2021). Tax system integrity and compliance: The democratic management of the tax system. In E. Ahmed & V. Braithwaite (Eds.), Taxing Democracy: Understanding Tax Avoidance and Evasion (pp. 279-297). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315244590

Button, M., Blackbourn, D., & Tunley, M. (2020). The not-so-thin blue line after all? Investigative resources dedicated to fighting fraud/economic crime in the United Kingdom. Policing: A Journal of Policy and Practice, 14(1), 85-100. https://doi.org/10.1093/police/pay023

Cobham, A., & Janský, P. (2021). Measuring misalignment: The location of US multinationals' economic activity versus the location of their profits. Development Policy Review, 39(3), 355-380. https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12478

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2021). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Cyan, M. R., Koumpias, A. M., & Martinez-Vazquez, J. (2021). The effects of mass media campaigns on individual attitudes towards tax compliance: Quasi-experimental evidence from survey data in Pakistan. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 70, 10-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2017.07.004

Dalnial, H., Kamaluddin, A., Sanusi, Z. M., & Khairuddin, K. S. (2020). Detecting fraudulent financial reporting through financial statement analysis. Journal of Advanced Management Science, 2(1), 17-22. https://doi.org/10.12720/joams.2.1.17-22

Darmayasa, I. N., & Aneswari, Y. R. (2020). The ethical practice of tax consultant based on local wisdom. Journal of Accounting and Investment, 16(2), 142-152. https://doi.org/10.18196/jai.2002142

Directorate General of Taxes. (2023). Annual Report 2023. Ministry of Finance, Republic of Indonesia.

Fitriana, I., Sang, G. L., & Nurlaela, L. (2022). Determinants of tax compliance behavior in Indonesia: An application of theory of planned behavior. Journal of Tax Reform, 8(3), 284-299. https://doi.org/10.15826/jtr.2022.8.3.119

Glomseth, R., Gottschalk, P., & Hole, A. S. (2020). Occupational crime and corporate compliance: A convenience perspective. International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice, 35(2), 136-149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijlcj.2020.100228

Hashim, H. A., Salleh, Z., & Ariff, A. M. (2021). The role of management accounting systems and top management support in fraud prevention. Journal of Forensic and Investigative Accounting, 9(1), 88-110.

Huber, W. D. (2020). Forensic Accounting, Fraud Theory, and the End of the Fraud Triangle. Journal of Theoretical Accounting Research, 12(2), 28-48.

Johannesen, N., & Zucman, G. (2020). The end of bank secrecy? An evaluation of the G20 tax haven crackdown. American Economic Journal: Economic Policy, 6(1), 65-91. https://doi.org/10.1257/pol.6.1.65

Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2020). Interviews: Learning the Craft of Qualitative Research Interviewing (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Levi, M. (2020). Thinking about the economics of organized crime and its control. Journal of Financial Crime, 26(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-02-2020-0015

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). Naturalistic Inquiry. SAGE Publications. https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-1767(85)90062-8

Marx, G. T. (2020). Undercover: Police Surveillance in America. University of California Press.

International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management, Accounting and Informatics

"Digital Transformation and Sustainable Business: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Research and Development"

Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2020). Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation (5th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2020). Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Nugraha, N. M., & Nugroho, L. (2021). Forensic accounting and fraud investigation practices in Indonesia: Challenges and opportunities. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 7(4), 326-332.

Schneider, F. (2020). Size of the shadow economies of 28 European Union countries from 2003 to 2018. Journal of Self-Governance and Management Economics, 6(1), 7-29. https://doi.org/10.22381/JSME6120201

Seda, M., & Kramer, B. K. P. (2020). An examination of the availability and composition of forensic accounting education in the United States and other countries. Journal of Forensic and Investigative Accounting, 6(2), 1-36.

Singleton, T. W., & Singleton, A. J. (2021). Fraud Auditing and Forensic Accounting (6th ed.). John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119757221

Slemrod, J. (2020). Tax compliance and enforcement. Journal of Economic Literature, 57(4), 904-954. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20181437

Yin, R. K. (2020). Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Yusof, N. Z. M., Simon, J., & Rosli, K. (2020). Forensic accounting and the cybersecurity challenge in the Malaysian public sector. Asian Journal of Accounting and Governance, 13, 91-100. https://doi.org/10.17576/AJAG-2020-13-08